## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

Onder of Diaming of

	Order of Dismissar
v. MORITZ	Civil 08-213 (SRC)
It hav	ving been reported to the Court that the above-captioned action has been
settled, and t	that the request for an order of dismissal is not based on a desire to adjourn or
delay the pro	oceedings herein;

ORDERED THAT:

IT IS on this 9th day OF MARCH, 2010

WOLFE

- (1) This action is hereby DISMISSED without cost and **without prejudice** to the right, upon motion and good cause shown, within 60 days, to reopen this action if the settlement is not consummated; and
- (2) If any party shall move to set aside this Order of Dismissal as provided in the first paragraph or pursuant to the provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b), in deciding such motion the Court retains jurisdiction of the matter to the extent necessary to enforce the terms and conditions of any settlement entered into between the parties.

s/Stanley R. Chesler, U. S. D. J.